

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/652,159	08/31/2000	Te-Kai Liu	YOR9-2000-0385US1	2619	
30743 7	590 07/07/2003				
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 340			EXAMINER		
			FRENEL, VANEL		
RESTON, VA	20190				
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3626	3626 DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/652,159	LIU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Vanel Frenel	3626			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	August 2000 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
		an.				
-	 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4			

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the application filed 08/31/00. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al. (6,253,980) in view of Whipp et al (2002/0022979).
- (A) As per claim 1, Murakami discloses a car rental system comprising:a fleet of cars, each of which is operable only when a valid digital key
- is presented to the car, and each of said fleet of cars has a means to invalidate a digital key (Col.6, lines 29-67 to Col.7, line 63); and

a management system for handling reservation and car return, said management system (Col.5, lines 57-67 to Col.6, line 28).

Murakami does not explicitly disclose including: a key generation system for generating digital keys for renters of the car rental system; a key return system for processing digital keys returned by renters.

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Whipp. In particular, Whipp suggests a key generation system for generating digital keys for

Art Unit: 3626

renters of the car rental system; a key return system for processing digital keys returned by renters (Page 3, Paragraphs 0025-0029; Page 5, Paragraphs 0050-0053).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the features of Whipp within the system of Murakani with the motivation of providing a method for releasing a vehicle to one of a plurality of different users, including: receiving data entered by a user through a communication device outside and separate from the vehicle (See Whipp, Page 4, Paragraph 0031).

(B) As per claim 2, Whipp discloses the system further comprising a parking lot guarded by a security gate, said fleet of cars being parked with confines of said parking lot when not rented by a renter of the car rental system, said security gate only opening when a valid digital pass is presented by a renter of the car rental system (Page 6, Paragraph 0062-0064).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

(C) As per claim 3, Whipp discloses the system wherein the management system is accessed by a prospective renter over a network and the prospective renter is given a digital key to operate a particular car and a digital pass to open the gate of the parking lot where said particular car is parked, after said prospective renter completes a reservation for said particular car, said digital key and digital pass being effective starting from the time specified by said reservation (Page 5, Paragraph 0050-0054).

Art Unit: 3626

(D) As per claim 4, Murakami discloses the system wherein the prospective renter accesses the management system at a kiosk located in the parking lot where the particular car is parked (Col.17, lines 14-67).

- (E) As per claim 5, Murakami discloses the system wherein the prospective renter accesses the management system over the Internet (Col.17, lines 14-67).
- (F) As per claim 6, Whipp discloses the system wherein the key generation system stores a digital key on a storage device provided by a prospective renter (Page5, Paragraphs 0053-0056).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claim 1, and incorporated herein.

- (G) As per claim 7, Murakami discloses the system wherein the storage device is a smart card (Col.6, lines 63-67 to Col.7, line 7).
- As per claim 8, Murakami discloses the system wherein the digital key comprises (H) car and user identification (ID) signed by the management system to authenticate the digital key (Col.11, lines 6-67 to Col.12, line 22).
- (I) As per claim 9, Murakami discloses the system wherein a renter of a car invalidates a valid digital key upon returning a car to the car rental system and presents an

Art Unit: 3626

invalidated digital key to the key return system to complete a car return (Col.11, lines 6-67 to Col.12, line 67).

- (J) As per claim 10, Murakami discloses the system wherein the invalidation of a valid digital key includes storing car status information relevant to computing by the key return system a receipt for the renter (Col.8, lines 24-64).
- (K) As per claim 11, Murakami discloses a computer implemented method for operating a car rental system comprising the steps of

accessing a reservation server by a prospective car renter to reserve a car (Col.6, lines 29-67 to Col.7, line 63);

authenticating the prospective car renter by the reservation server (Col.12, lines 23-67) and,

upon the reservation server successfully authenticating the user, prompting the prospective car renter for the date, time, and location for pickup and return, and the type of car (Col.8, lines 65-67 to Col.9, line 67; Col.10, lines 1-67).

Murakami does not explicitly disclose checking by the reservation server an availability of a requested car and, if a car is available, creating by the reservation server a digital key by car and user information with a digital signature of the reservation server; and downloading the digital key to a portable storage device, the portable storage device being used to gain access to a rental car.

Art Unit: 3626

However, these features are known in the art, as evidenced by Whipp. In particular, Whipp suggests checking by the reservation server an availability of a requested car and, if a car is available, creating by the reservation server a digital key by car and user information with a digital signature of the reservation server; and downloading the digital key to a portable storage device, the portable storage device being used to gain access to a rental car (See Whipp, Page 5, Paragraphs 0050-0056).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the features of Whipp within the system of Murakani with the motivation of providing a method for releasing a vehicle to one of a plurality of different users, including: receiving data entered by a user through a communication device outside and separate from the vehicle (See Whipp, Page 4, Paragraph 0031).

- (L) As per claim 12, Murakami discloses the method wherein the step of accessing the reservation server is performed via a network (Col.17, lines 14-67).
- (M) As per claim 13, Whipp discloses the method wherein the network is the Internet (Page 5, Paragraph 0052).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(N) As per claim 14, Whipp discloses the method wherein the step of authenticating a prospective car renter includes the steps of

Art Unit: 3626

prompting the prospective car renter to enter a personal identification number (PIN) (Page 7, Paragraphs 0066-0069); and

comparing the entered PIN with a valid PIN for the prospective car renter (Page 7, Paragraphs 0066-0069).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(O) As per claim 15, Whipp discloses the method wherein the step of creating a digital key comprises the steps of computing a hash of the car renter's valid PIN (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063); combining car and renter identification with the hashed PIN (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063); and

digitally signing the combined information by said reservation server (Page 5, Paragraphs 0052--0055).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(P) As per claim 16, Whipp discloses the method further comprising the steps of inserting the portable storage device by a car renter into a slot for receiving the portable storage device in a rented car (Page 5, Paragraphs 0051-0056); upon detecting the portable storage device inserted into the slot, obtaining by an access controller installed in the rented car the digital key stored on the portable storage device and checking by the access controller.

Art Unit: 3626

whether the digital key is valid and verifying the signature on the digital key (Page 5, Paragraphs 0051-0056; Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063);

if the digital key is valid and the signature is verified, the access controller then prompting the car renter to enter his or her identification and checking for correctness of the car renter's identification (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078); and

if the entered identification for the car renter matches a correct identification on the portable storage device, the access controller activating instruments of the car which the car renter is authorized to have access to (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(Q) As per claim 17, Whipp discloses the method further comprising the steps of upon receiving a car renter's request to return a car, prompting the car renter to insert his or her portable storage device into the slot for the portable storage device (Page 5, Paragraphs 0051-0056);

obtaining by the access controller car status information and car identification (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078);

creating by the access controller a return packet by combining car

status information and the current digital key (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078);

signing the return packet by the access controller, appending the car

Art Unit: 3626

identification to the signed return packet, and saving the signed return packet into the portable storage device (Page 2, Paragraph 0020; Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078); and

invalidating by the access controller a current digital key (Page 6, Paragraphs 0059-0063; Page 8, Paragraphs 0070-0078).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(R) As per claim 18, Whipp discloses the method further comprising the steps of upon receiving a car renter's request to return a car, retrieving the return packet from the portable storage device (Page 5, Paragraph 0052-0056); verifying a signature on the return packet (Page 6, Paragraph 0060-0063); and updating the car status and printing a receipt for the car renter (Page 6, Paragraph 0060-0063).

The motivation for combining the respective teachings of Murakami and Whipp are as discussed above in the rejection of claims 1 and 11, and incorporated herein.

(S) As per claim19, Murakami discloses the method wherein the portable storage device is a <u>smart_card</u> (Col.7, lines 1-7).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited but not applied art teaches method of operating a

Art Unit: 3626

vehicle redistribution system based upon predicted ride demands (6,4653,298), method and apparatus for processing orders from customers in a mobile environment (6,026,375), shared vehicle rental system (5,812,070), car rent system (5,289,369) and car-operated control system for vehicle components (4,477,874).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vanel Frenel whose telephone number is 703-305-4952. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on 703-305-9643. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

June 24, 2003

Page 10

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600